Number of responses in this summary	51			
,	Ŭ.			
Summary for #1: Q00				
Do you currently receive the printed co	1			
Answer	Count	Percentage		
Yes (AO01)	14	30%	_	
No (AO02)	32	70%		
No answer	0	0%		
This proportion is the same as for the med	nbersnip as a wn	ole		
Summary for #2: G01Q02				
If you get the print newsletter, how do y	ou usually read	it?	Percentage of print readers	Summarized Results
Answer	Count		rounded off	
Never or rarely read the newsletter (SQ00	0	0%	0%	0% don't read at all, or
Skim through it, read a few articles (SQ00	0	0%	0%	just skim/read a few
Read several articles (SQ002)	4	9%	27%	
Look at every page & read most articles (7	15%	47%	
Read it cover to cover (SQ004)	4	9%	27%	74% read most or cover
(Not applicableI don't get the print news	20	43%		to cover
No answer	11	24%		
O		 		
Summary for #3: G01Q03 If you read the newsletter online, how d	lo vou uso it?	 	Percentage of online readers	
Answer	Count		rounded off	
Never or rarely read the newsletter (SQ00		15%	18%	55% don't read at all, or
Skim through it, read a few articles (SQ00		30%	37%	skim & read a few articles
Read several articles (SQ002)	8	17%	21%	Skiii a lead a lew articles
Look at every page & read most articles (20%	24%	
Read it cover to cover (SQ004)	0	0%	0%	24% read most or cover
(Not applicableI get the print newsletter)		4%		to cover
No answer	6	13%		
Summary for #4: G01Q04				
If you don't currently read the print vers		ead the newsletter	Percentage of online readers	
more often if you could subscribe to it?			rounded off	
Answer	Count			
Yes (AO01)	10	22%	32%	
No (AO02)	21	46%	68%	
No answer	15	33%		
Summary for #5: G01Q05				
If you are a current subscriber to the pr	int version. and	the print version	Percentage of print readers	
becomes unavailable, how much will y			rounded off	
Answer	Count	-	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
As much as I use the printed version now		11%	21%	
I will look at the online version every mont		11%	21%	
I will look at the online version occasional	8	17%	33%	
I will look at the online version rarely or ne	6	13%	25%	
No answer	22	48%		
S.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			+	
Summary for #6: G01Q06	diama an ann		anto in aith an the maintenant	
Do you have any other comments, ques	suons, or sugges	uons for improvem	ents in either the print version o	or the online versions?
Michael Burns	atta boy JT			
Rob Lewis	Bring back Old S	Bring back Old School!		
TOD LOWIS			tric conv to got a nanor conv whi	oh if Laat Lwauld have to
Brent Gifford		•	etric copy to get a paper copy which think it's kind of funny. Thanks fo	•
Dietit Gilloid	use electionics to	o icau aiiyway i juSt	umin ito niiu oi lullily. Hlaliks 10	i your time. Good luck.
Aram Boyd		a hard copy should p		

	Have a few printed newsletters to hand out to new members at the Saturday circle. Keep online
	newsletters for everyone. Although I understand the value of tradition I also feel that it's
Knute Fisher	necessary for any group to adapt to changing technology.
Roger Behnken	I enjoy the printed version of the newsletter, as I love having a book in my hands I love having the newsletter in hand
	I grabnprint copy when I can and read C2C. I want to pay for getting hard copy
Ben Stiegler	Item 1 did not allow for opportunists like me
Gerald Ray	I have no issue with just receiving it online.
Brian Carter	I have no objection to raising annual dues to pay for the printed version of the newsletter. If I got a choice, I would be okay with +\$36,00 per year increase.
	I know that it's important to save trees, and nobody needs more paper in their already cluttered
Edward Kenna	environment. However, because the newsletter is more than one or two pages, I intend to print it myself off of a USB Drive and make a trip over to FedEx Office.
241414114	I like David Block's suggestion that members wanting the print newsletter pay an additional \$15
Jan Donales a	like outliers already do. This would handle the financial discrepancy between those two classes
Jay Bunker	of members. I never read anything on the computer. I use my phone for basic communication; never reading.
	. I can't print from my phone, thus I never read the newsletter. First time I read it was when we
, .	produced it. I received a print copy. I thought "this is interesting; too bad I haven't looked at it for
Doug Kuenning	the last four years." I rarely read the newsletter, never found out that much interesting as it contains too much
Mathias Seul	rambling and anecdotes. Not really my cup of tea.
	I read the newsletter partially when I got it in print. Since I canceled the print and online only,
Ryan Stoney	I've never read it I read the online version with few issues. However, based on the discussion I think the
	newsletter is a SUPER IMPORTANT asset to the community and we should do everything
	possible to maximize 1) people reading it (and it seems like there's a pretty big % that would
	read it less if there wasn't a printed version so we need to keep it) 2) men contributing to it (we
	could do more to incentivize that or educate people on it's importance or maybe have a monthly poll where people go to a link and select their top 3 articles each month and that gets
	announced at monthly meeting and there's a prize for top 3 contributors every 6-12 months,
	etc) 3) encourage sharing with partners, friends, etc as a way to promote what our community is
David Garrison	about some ideas thanks for all you do JT! this is david g:)
	I think access to the newsletter is important and a key part of EBCoM. I think EBCoM should
	continue to provide it in a format that works for the the vast majority of all the men. The men
Jay Ligda	reading the newsletter is a service to EBCOM, not just the man reading it.
Clayton Thiel	I'm in favor of any measure that can help Ebcom balance its finances including paying more for dues.
Jeffrey Randall	Keep it!
Alan Wick	Keep the print version.
Abraham Hempel	no
Randy Thieben	Online is fine. Waste of paper and teams energy and encom \$ printing and mailing.
	I'm in support of us doing whatever we can to make it easy for men to read the newsletter,
	according to what works for them - it benefits all of us in EBCOM. Almost every organization I'm a
	member of (including the Sierra Club and Nature Conservancy) sends a printed newsletter - because it's much more likely to get read!
	We should also reiterate the standard of publishing the newsletter at least a week before the
	Sat. meeting (with the support of the council, and hopefully we can get men to compile/produce
	the newsletter on the old schedule, giving time to mail and/or produce the online version, so
Phillip Garrison	men have time to read it before the Saturday meeting).
	The "hands on" newsletter should not be allowed to die. It is of significant value to those of us
	who receive it. Also, my strong intuition is that more men read it more often when they had it in
Steven Rosing	physically in their homes.

Rich Symmons	The hard copy is a Treasure, worth filing away. I enjoy having it "at the ready", for a month or more to be picked up again & again, each time Satisfying. No need to deal with my struggling computer & computer skills.
Rafi Brinner	This seems to be a survey heavily oriented to the print subscribers - not clear why it was sent to digital only members.